§ 3001.194

- (4) Workpapers shall include citations sufficient to enable a reviewer to trace any number used but not derived in the associated testimony back to published documents or, if not obtained from published documents, to primary data sources. Citations shall be sufficiently detailed to enable a reviewer to identify and locate the specific data used, e.g., by reference to document, page, line, column, etc. With the exception of workpapers that follow a standardized and repetitive format, the required citations themselves, or a crossreference to a specific page, line, and column of a table of citations, shall appear on each page of each workpaper. Workpapers that follow a standardized and repetitive format shall include the citations described in this paragraph for a sufficient number of representative examples to enable a reviewer to trace numbers directly or by analogy.
- (i) Certification by officials. (1) Every formal request shall include one or more certifications stating that the cost statements and supporting data submitted as a part of the formal request, as well as the accompanying workpapers, which purport to reflect the books of the Postal Service, accurately set forth the results shown by such books.
- (2) The certificates required by paragraph (i)(1) of this section shall be signed by one or more representatives of the Postal Service authorized to make such certification. The signature of the official signing the document constitutes a representation that the official has read the document and that, to the best of his/her knowledge, information and belief, every statement contained in the instrument is proper.
- (j) Rejection of requests. The Commission may reject any request under this subpart that patently fails to substantially comply with any requirements of this subpart.

§ 3001.194 Failure to comply.

If the Postal Service fails to provide any information specified by this subpart, or otherwise required by the presiding officer or the Commission, the Commission, upon its own motion, or upon motion of any participant to the proceeding, may stay the proceeding until satisfactory compliance is achieved. The Commission will stay proceedings only if it finds that failure to supply adequate information interferes with the Commission's ability promptly to consider the request and to conduct its proceedings with expedition in accordance with the Act.

§ 3001.195 Requests to recommend a baseline Negotiated Service Agreement.

- (a) This section governs Postal Service requests for a recommended decision in regard to a baseline Negotiated Service Agreement, *i.e.*, a Negotiated Service Agreement that is not predicated on a functionally equivalent Negotiated Service Agreement currently in effect. The purpose of this section is to establish procedures which provide for maximum expedition of review consistent with procedural fairness, and which allows for the recommendation of a baseline Negotiated Service Agreement. The Postal Service request shall include:
- (1) A written justification for requesting a Negotiated Service Agreement classification as opposed to a more generally applicable form of classification; and
- (2) A description of the operational bases of the Negotiated Service Agreement, including activities to be performed and facilities to be used by both the Postal Service and the mailer under the agreement.
- (b) The Commission will treat requests predicated on a baseline Negotiated Service Agreement as subject to the maximum expedition consistent with procedural fairness. A schedule will be established, in each case, to allow for prompt issuance of a decision.

§ 3001.196 Requests to recommend a Negotiated Service Agreement that is functionally equivalent to a previously recommended Negotiated Service Agreement.

(a) This section governs Postal Service requests for a recommended decision in regard to a Negotiated Service Agreement that is proffered as functionally equivalent to a Negotiated